

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/643,190	08/21/2000	Norbert Ohlenbusch	P0663/7020	8702
75	90 06/04/2002			
Randy J Pritzker Wolf Greenfield & Sacks PC 600 Atlantic Avenue			EXAMINER	
			VO, HIEN XUAN	
Boston, MA 02210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2863	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2002	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trace ark Office

Address: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO./	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR /	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
CONTROL NO.		PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER

8

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	09/643,190	OHLENBUSCH ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Hien X. Vo	2863					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may oly within the statutory minimum of I will apply and will expire SIX (6) N te, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. E ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	August 2000 .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ T	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-103</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-103</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-103</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	or election requirement.						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 August 2000</u> is/are	: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ ob	jected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority document 	nts have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received i	n Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	•						
a) The translation of the foreign language p							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome							
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Serial No.: 09/643,190 2
Art Unit: 2863

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

1. This application has been examined. Claims 1-103 are pending.

2. The prior art submitted on 04/09/2001 and 03/15/2001 has been considered as indicated on the enclosed copies of Form PTO-1449.

Specification

On page 1 of the specification, applicant is required to update the current status of the parent applications serial No. 09/547,975, 09/547,977 and 09/548,217. Correction is required

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir.

Serial No.: 09/643,190

Art Unit: 2863

1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-103 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-59 of U.S. Patent No. 6,052,654.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the independent claims of the subject application differ from the patented claims in having the phrase: "experienced the minimum degree of smoothness for at least the given period of time and identifying that the foot of the user is airborne" or the equivalent language. In contrast the Patent claims "using an output of motion sensing device that does not require compression forces

Serial No.: 09/643,190

Art Unit: 2863

thereon to sense motion to determined a moment that the foot leaves the surface". In order to detect motion of a foot relative to a surface during a footstep taken by the person, applicant must include detection of acceleration, velocity, time, etc. Therefore, the subject claims are broader than the Patent claims. It would therefore have been obvious to modify the claims of Patent 6,052,654 to claim the more limited "a minimum degree of smoothness for a given period of time".

Conclusion

- 4. All claims are rejected.
- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Sidorenko et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,409,992) disclosed an electronic ergometer.

Flentov et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,636,146) disclosed an apparatus and method for determining loft time and speed.

Purdy et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,757,714) disclosed a speed sensor and head-mounted data display.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Hien Vo, whose telephone number is (703)308-5253. The examiner

4

Serial No.: 09/643,190

Art Unit: 2863

can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Hilten, can be reached on (703)308-0719.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-7382 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Plaza 4, Arlington. VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

HIEN VOJune 03, 2002

JOHN S. HILTEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800